

Docket 2000.149

UNITED STATES PATENT APPLICATION

of

Ronald W. Call

for

BATTERY SEPARATOR
AND METHOD OF MAKING SAME

BATTERY SEPARATOR AND METHOD OF MAKING SAME

Field of the Invention

A microporous laminated membrane useful as a battery separator, particularly in lithium secondary batteries, and its method of manufacture are disclosed herein.

Background of the Invention

The use of microporous multi-layered membranes as battery separators is known. See, for example, U.S. Patent Nos. 5,480,745; 5,691,047; 5,667,911; 5,691,077; and 5,952,120.

U.S. Patent No. 5,480,745 discloses forming the multi-layered film by co-extruding the multi-layered precursor or by heat-welding, at 152°C, pre-formed precursor layers. The multi-layered precursor, formed by either technique, is then made microporous by annealing and stretching. There is no mention of stacking precursors for the step of forming the micropores.

U.S. Patent No. 5,691,047 discloses forming the multi-layered film by co-extruding the multi-layered precursor or by uniting, under heat (120 - 140°C) and pressure (1-3 kg/cm²), three or more

U.S. Patent No. 5,952,120 discloses forming the multi-layered film by extruding nonporous precursors, bonding together nonporous precursors, annealing the bonded, nonporous precursors, and stretching the bonded, nonporous precursors to form a multi-layered microporous film. At least four (4) tri-layer precursors are simultaneously passed through the steps of bonding, annealing, and stretching. Bonding was performed between nip rollers at 128°C (range 125°C - 135°C) at a line speed of 30 ft/min (9.1 m/min) to yield a peel strength of 5.7 g/in (0.2 g/mm) and between nip rollers at 128°C - 130°C at a line speed of 40 ft/min (12.2 m/min) to yield a peel strength of 30 g/in (1.2 g/mm).

While the foregoing processes have produced commercially viable multi-layered, microporous films suitable for use as battery separators, there is a desire on the part of both the separator manufacturers and the battery manufacturers to have such films with greater interply adhesion (i.e., resistance to peeling individual layers from one another, measured by peel strength). One route, mentioned above, is to co-extrude the multi-layered film. From co-extrusion, an infinite peel strength may be obtained because the polymers at the interface of the layers are knitted together during extrusion. However, when individual layers are extruded and subsequently bonded (or laminated) together, peel strengths have been limited (as noted above).

(rechargeable) batteries, such as batteries based on lithium chemistry. These films are commonly made of polyolefins, for example, polyethylene, polypropylene, polybutylene, polymethylpentene, mixtures thereof and copolymers thereof. Polypropylene (including isotactic and atactic) and polyethylene (including LDPE, LLDPE, HDPE, and UHMWPE) and blends thereof and their copolymers are the preferred polyolefins that are used to make commercially available films for these applications. These films may be made by the CELGARD® process (also known as the dry process; i.e., extrude-anneal-stretch) or by a solvent extraction process (also known as the wet process or phase inversion process or TIPS, thermally induced phase separation, process) or by a particle stretch process. Some of these films, those made by the dry process, are often multi-layered films. Multi-layered films are preferred because they have shutdown capability (i.e., can stop the flow of ions in the event of short circuiting). A common multi-layered film is the tri-layered film. A popular tri-layered film has a polypropylene (PP)/polyethylene (PE)/polypropylene (PP) structure, another structure is PE/PP/PE. Another separator is a 5-layered film with a PP/PE/PP/PE/PP or a PE/PP/PE/PP/PE structure. Such separators have a thickness less than 3 mils (75 microns, μ). Preferably, the thickness ranges from 0.5 to 1.5 mils (12 to 38 μ) (thickness is the average of 30 measurements across the width of the film, using a precision micrometer with a 0.25-inch diameter

circular shoe contacting the sample at eight (8) psi). Most preferably, the thickness ranges from 0.5 to 1.0 mils (12 to 25 μ). Adhesion (interply adhesion, measured by peel strength - using a Chatillon TCD-20 Peel force Tester, Digital Gram Gauge Model DFG-2, and GF6 cam type Grips, sample - 1 inch (2.54 cm) x 6 - 8 inch (15.24 - 20.32 cm), peel back 1 inch (2.54cm) of outside layers from the middle layer with transparent tape and place one outside layer and middle layer in grips) is greater than 40 grams/inch (1.6 g/mm), preferably greater than 50 g/in (2.0 g/mm), and most preferably greater than 60 g/in (2.4 g/mm). Other film properties are: Gurley < 30 seconds (Gurley - ASTM-D726(B) - a resistance to air flow measured by the Gurley Densometer (e.g. Model 4120), the time (sec) required to pass 10 cc of air through one square inch of product under a pressure of 12.2 inches of water, 10 samples are averaged). Basis weight ranging from 0.5 - 2.0 mg/cm² (basis weight is the average of 3 - one foot square samples from across the width of the sample weighted on a precision balance with an accuracy of 0.0001 grams). Shrinkage (%) is less than or equal to 5.0% (shrinkage is the average of three 10 cm samples from across the width of the film, they are measured, exposed to 90°C air for 60 minutes and re-measured, the average is reported. Puncture strength \geq 360 grams (puncture strength is the average of ten measurements made from across the width of the sample. A Mitech Stevens LFRA Texture Analyzer is used. The needle is 1.65 mm in

diameter with a 0.5 mm radius. The rate of descent is 2 mm/sec and the amount of deflection is 6 mm. The film is held tight in the clamping device with a central hole of 11.3 mm. The maximum resistance force is the puncture strength.) The pore size is about $0.04 \times 0.09 \mu$. The calculated porosity is less than 60%, preferably about 40%. The calculated density is $100 - (\text{apparent density/resin density})$ and for multi-layered films, calculated porosity is $100 - \sum(\text{apparent density/resin density})_i$.

In the manufacture of these films, the process generally comprises: extruding nonporous precursors; bonding together the nonporous precursors; and making microporous the bonded nonporous precursors. For example, in a wet process, a mixture of matrix components and extractable components are extruded to form a nonporous precursor film. Precursor films are stacked for bonding, the stacking being in the configuration of the desired end product. The stacked precursor films are then bonded. Thereafter, the bonded stacked precursor films are made microporous by subjecting that film to an extraction bath where solvents would be used to remove the extractable components from matrix components. In the dry process, on the other hand, the matrix components are extruded to form a nonporous precursor film. Precursor films are stacked for bonding, the stacking being in the configuration of the desired end product. The stacked precursor films are then bonded.

Thereafter, the bonded stacked precursor films are made microporous by subjecting that film to an annealing and then stretching steps where stretching induces pore formation at the interface of crystalline and amorphous regions in the matrix components. The invention will be further described with reference to the dry process.

Extruding the precursor film is conventional. For example, see U.S. Patent Nos. 5,480,945; 5,691,047; 5,667,911; 5,691,077; 5,952,120; and 6,602,593. Matrix components are polyolefins. The polyolefins are preferably any polyolefin suitable for blown film or slot die film productions. Most preferred are polyethylene and polypropylenes suitable for blown film or slot die film production. Nonporous precursor films are extruded and wound up. For example, in a blown film process, a tubular parison is extruded, collapsed, and the wound up and in a slot die or T die process, the flat parison is extruded and wound up. Each of these nonporous precursor films will become a layer of the multi-layered microporous membrane.

Laminating (e.g., bonding with heat and pressure via nip rollers) of two or more of the nonporous precursor films is performed next. The nonporous precursor films are unwound and stacked in a conventional manner before bonding in a laminator.

The unwinding and stacking may be performed as illustrated in U.S. Patent Nos. 5,691,077 and 5,952,120, except only one set of stacked nonporous precursor films (i.e., a set being a stack of precursor films laid up in the configuration of the desired final microporous membrane) is run through the heated nip rolls of the precursor at a time. A preferred configuration is a tri-layer precursor with a PP/PE/PP lay-up pattern. It is preferred that the higher melting point material (e.g., PP in a PP/PE/PP) precursor be wider than the lower melting point material (e.g., PE in a PP/PE/PP) so to prevent sticking on the heated nip rolls. Line speeds through the heated nip rolls are greater than 50 feet per minute (15.2 m/min) and typically range from 50 - 200 fpm (15.2 - 61 m/min). Preferably, the line speeds are greater than 100 fpm (30.5 m/min), more preferably 125 fpm (38.1 m/min), and most preferably, 150 fpm (45.7 m/min). The heated nip roll temperature ranges from 100 - 175°C, preferably 145 to 170°C, and most preferably 155 - 165°C. Nip roll pressure ranges from 100 to 800 pounds per linear inch (pli) (17.7 - 141.7 kg per linear cm), preferably 100 to 300 pli (17.7 - 53.1 kg per linear cm).

After the now bonded stacked nonporous precursor, which is heated for bonding, is wound up. Prior to wind up, however, it is desirable to cool the film. This cooling is preferably accomplished by the use of a chill roll. The chill roll

The foregoing invention will be further illustrated by way of the following examples:

In the following examples, the films were made by identical processes except Examples 1 and 3 were bonded together by the inventive process and Comparative Examples 2 and 4 were prepared according to the process set out in U. S. Patent No. 5,952,120. Lamination parameters from the inventive process are as set forth above, reference preferred ranges. Example 1 and Comparative Example 2 have a nominal thickness of 25μ , and Example 3 and Comparative Example 4 have a nominal thickness of 20μ .

	<u>EX 1</u>	<u>CEX 2</u>	<u>EX 3</u>	<u>CEX 4</u>
Gurley	25.0	22.9	18.8	18.5
Thickness	26.5	25.0	20.7	20.2
Basis Weight	1.5	1.4	1.1	1.1
Shrinkage %	2.5	2.2	1.7	1.6
Adhesion	63.1	37.8	62.2	39.6
Porosity %	38.7	39.8	42.2	45.5
Puncture Strength	471	476	423	446
MD Strength (Kg/cm ²)	1521	1996	1977	1997
MD % Elongation	46	46	43	41
TD Strength (Kg/cm ²)	157	139	157	145
TD % Elongation	151	555	931	788
Electrical Resistance (ER)	8.3	7.6	7.4	7.7

Tensile properties (TD & MD strength and TD & MD % Elongation) were measured using an INSTRON MODEL 4201 (with Series IX Automated Materials Testing Software for Windows), crosshead speed 508.00 mm/min, samples 5 - ½ inch (1.27 cm) x 6 - 8 inches (15.24 - 20.32 cm), clamp pressure - 90 psi (6.33 Kg_f/cm²). Electrical Resistance (ER) is reported as MacMullen Number (N_{mac} =

$r_{separator}/\rho_{electrolyte}t_{separator}$, $r_{separator}$ = R(measured resistance of separator) A_{probe} (area of probe, cm²), $\rho_{electrolyte}$ = electrolyte resistivity (ohm-cm), $t_{separator}$ = separator thickness (cm)) using an EG&G Princeton Applied Research of Oak Ridge, TN, 273A Potentiostat with 5210 Lock-in Amplifier and the PowerSuite software. The test cell has a 1 square inch (6.45 square cm) electrode faces that contact the wetted separator. Separators are wetted with a 1 molar LiPF₆ electrolyte in a 3:7 weight ratio ethyl carbonate (EC) to ethyl methyl carbonate (EMC). Measurements are taken at AC amplitude of 5 mV and a frequency range of 22,000 to 24,000 Hz. The report results are the average of four membranes, 4 membranes are stacked and measured, then remove one membrane and measure 3 membranes and so forth, the differences are averaged and reported.

The present invention may be embodied in other forms without departing from the spirit and the essential attributes thereof, and, accordingly, reference should be made to the appended claims, rather than to the foregoing specification, as indicated the scope of the invention.